Greater Manchester - Cities 2019



Introduction

(0.1) Please give a general description and introduction to your city including your city's reporting boundary in the table below.

	ministrative undary	Description of city
City Metrosomer area	a ·	Greater Manchester is in North West England, with a population of over 2.7 million is one of the UK's biggest city regions comprising Bolton, Bury, Oldham, Rochdale, Stockport, Tameside, Trafford, Wigan, Manchester and Salford. Greater Manchester - 'city of change' is today preparing itself for a markedly different tomorrow. The world's first industrial city shaped by coal and steam is now forging a sustainable future path, carbon, not oil, becoming a primary economic barometer. Cities that move swiftly to change their culture and technologies will be more competitive, less vulnerable and better prepared. City neighbourhoods harmonising with natural systems, fossil fuel-free homes and businesses creating and consuming power, a cool, green, resilient urban form - Greater Manchester's next big challenge. In less than a generation an impressive array of public and private sector endeavours has transformed and regenerated the city - its natural heritage awakening from former industrial decline. A diversified and flourishing economy in media, finance, sports, engineering and creative arts, Greater Manchester is the most vibrant and productive contributor to the UK economy outside the South East. Now we must apply our skills to lead the most important emerging market; the low carbon economy – to become a global hub for development, technology and cultural change, proficient in the design and production of a low carbon transformation. Clear vision and determined leadership are essential. Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA) and our ten Councils work in partnership with the city's world-class academic, business, civic and cultural institutions, firmly resolved to pursue our low carbon future in the face of the threat of climate change, to deliver greater prosperity, resilience and an improved quality of life for all our residents and businesses. This is the future we must secure; the only future that counts.

(0.2) If you have not previously submitted your Letter of Commitment to the Global Covenant of Mayors, either through the relevant regional covenant or through the Global Covenant secretariat, please attach the letter signed by an appropriately mandated official (e.g. Mayor, City Council) to this question.

City Details

(0.3) Please provide information about your city's Mayor or equivalent legal representative authority in the table below:

	Leader title	Leader name	Current term end month	Current term end year
Please complete	Mayor	Andy Burnham	May	2020

(0.4) Please select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

GBP Pound Sterling

(0.5) Please provide details of your city's current population. Report the population in the year of your reported inventory, if possible.

	Current population	Current population year		Projected population year
Please complete	2812569	2018	3038511	2038

figures updated using ONS mid-year population estimates, NOMIS

(0.6) Please provide further details about the geography of your city.

	Land area of the city boundary as defined in question 0.1 (in square km)
Please complete	1277

updated to 1277 KM 2 (from 1276) as it was actually 1276.8km2 and needed rounding up

CDP Page 1 of 32

Governance

(1.0) Does your city incorporate sustainability goals and targets (e.g. GHG reductions) into the master planning for the city? Yes

(1.0a) Please detail which goals and targets are incorporated in your city's master plan and describe how these goals are addressed in the table below.

Goal type	How are these goals/targets addressed in the city master plan?
Emissions reduction targets	Since the last CDP reporting period, GM has published the next iteration in it's city region wide spatial framework. The 'GREATER MANCHESTER'S PLAN FOR HOMES, JOBS AND THE ENVIRONMENT - GREATER MANCHESTER SPATIAL FRAMEWORK REVISED DRAFT - JANUARY 2019 can be downloaded from https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1710/gm_plan_for_homes_jobs_and_the_environment_1101-web.pdf and will be consulted on and adopted as the statutory spatial planning framework in 2020. Within this the plan sets out and strengthens proposals to support the Greater Manchester ambition to be a carbon neutral city-region by 2038. A key element of this is to require all new development to be net zero carbon by 2028 and to keep fossil fuels in the ground. And Reiterates that, at this time therefore Greater Manchester authorities will not support fracking. (see para 1.23 page 12). This commitment follows through into plan objective 7 which reiterates the new development net carbon/carbon neutral commitment (where spatial/land use planning has input). More generally this sits and contributes to the wider city region carbon neutral target by 2038. This is supported by a number of specific spatial planning policies throughout the document, with particular attention drawn to policy GM –S2 (page 79) which outlines more general approaches to carbon and energy and the commitment for new development to be carbon net zero from 2028.
Adaptation targets	Adaptation is referred to in the plan as climate resilience and can be seen in objective 8 (Page 40) this is also played out in specific resilience policy (but not targets – these are in 5 year environment plan) GM-S4 (page 84) with more detailed climatic resilience being addressed in specific policy (GM-S5) relating to which sets out ambition around existing and new development resilience to flood risk, for instance
Renewable energy targets	Policy GM-S2 sets renewable energy out as a method for delivery of net zero carbon in new development by 2028, but the wider GM renewables targets are associated with more than just land use planning for new development and are encapsulated within the GM 5 year environment plan – see below
Energy efficiency targets	GMSF objective 7 (Page 40) sets out ambition for improving energy efficiency more generally and policy GM-S2 sets out wider policy aspirations for retrofit but also in new development energy efficiency (in pursuit of the net zero emissions by 2028 in new development. But the plan doesn't specify specific Energy Efficiency levels at a blanket level across all development types due to technical differences in achievable standards.
Water security targets	GMSF doesn't set specific water efficieny / usuage targets (for water security reasons as water scarcity, including in future climate is a lower risk issue. However, objective ensuring resilient water supply and treatment infrastructure and other water quality (ground and surface) are covered within the spatial framework in both objectives 9 (access to infrastructure to support growth – page 41) and policy GM-S5 flooding and water environment (page 87)
Waste management targets	Waste management and recycling targets are not a land use/spatial planning issue beyond ensuring adequate infrastructure for new growth. Waste management and sustainable consumption and production are addressed within wider strategy including any targets and this is found in the GM 5 year environment plan (at an overarching level, summing up ambition from local action)

(1.1) Has the Mayor or city council committed to climate adaptation and/or mitigation across the geographical area of the city?

Yes

CDP Page 2 of 32

(1.1a) Please select any commitments to climate adaptation and/or mitigation your city has signed and attach evidence.

Name of commitment and attach document

Individual city commitment
5-year-plan-branded_3 (2).pdf
Decisions_agreed__GMCA_29_March_2019.pdf

Type of commitment

Both

Comments

In March 2019 Greater Manchester Launched it's first 5 year environment plan (2019-24) that sets out in one place a set of actions and targets required within GM to take a lead and deliver the low carbon, resilient and high quality environment the city region needs to secure a sustainable future. this covers both mitigation (and reflects GM's target of achieving carbon neutrality by 2038 (12 years before the UK commitment) and a range of adaptation actions. the plan can be downloaded from https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1986/5-year-plan-branded_3.pdf and in a range of places within this submission targets, commitments and actions have been specifically highlighted from within this ambitious document. Please note the attached Decision register for the full GM Combined Authority meeting 29th March 2019 which recorded decisions. the 5 year environment plan was taken to this meeting for formal endorsement. this was granted and the plan published with a signed forward from Andy Burnham the mayor (see p 5)

Name of commitment and attach document

Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate & Energy

Type of commitment

Both

Comments

GM signed up to The Integrated Covenant of Mayors requires Greater Manchester in November 2015, setting targets aligned with or exceeding an 80% emissions reduction by 2050, and to achieve a 40% reduction between 2005 and 2030. The commitment also requires comprehensive action planning, monitoring and reporting using their specific methodologies, including focus on climate risk and adaptation. These are closely aligned to the Compact of Mayors requirements which Greater Manchester achieved year 1 compliance (one of only 50 cities globally) in late 2016 This has followed into the global compact of mayors for climate and energy where GM is now a compliant city https://www.globalcovenantofmayors.org/cities/greater-manchester/

Name of commitment and attach document

100 Resilient Cities

Type of commitment

Adaptation

Comments

GM became one of the 3rd wave of RC100 cities in 2017 and is currently working (having just published its preliminary resilience assessment) on its resilience strategy. http://www.100resilientcities.org/cities/greater-manchester/

Name of commitment and attach document

Other (Under 2 MoU)

Type of commitment

Mitigation

Comments

Signed in November 2015, GM's Under 2 MOU requires cities to commit to achieving emissions reductions of at least 80% by 2050, and/or achieving a total emissions per capita of a maximum 2 tonnes per person by 2050. (GM's 2013 performance is 5.6 tonnes per capita). There is also a focus on climate risk/adaptation. http://under2mou.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Greater-Manchester-appendix.pdf

Climate Hazards & Vulnerability

Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

(2.0) Has a climate change risk and vulnerability assessment been undertaken for the city area?

Yes

(2.0a) Please select the primary process or methodology used to undertake the risk and vulnerability assessment of your city.

	Primary methodology	Description
Risk	Proprietary	A range of work in understanding climate risk and vulnerability has been undertaken since 2013. These have been undertaken by
assessment	Methodology	technical staff within the University of Manchester, however, the underlining climate projection data which was processed utilised the
methodology	(Proprietary	base and future probabilistic projection date based on the The UKCIP 2009 climate change projections of future changes may in
	Methodology)	North West England, relative to the 1961-1990 baseline period These studies have built on each other and addressed particular
		spatial extents or issues (critical infrastructure). taken together they represent a significant body of evidence on which a range of
		spatial planning policy and strategy and action planning have been directed (including the latest GM 5 year environment plan) and
		work on the 100 Resilient cities strategy. However, whilst these are outlined below, they need to be take together and it should be
		noted that a single stand alone full hazard/receptor risk and vulnerability assessment has not been carried out. indeed a building
		block approach and embedding the findings into particular strategy and policy has been a deliberate decision of the city region to
		address needs (including resource availability) this works from most recent risk assessment product first:

(2.0b) Please attach and provide details on your climate change risk and vulnerability assessment. Please provide details on the boundary of your assessment, and where this differs from your city's boundary, please provide an explanation.

Publication title and attach the document

Climate Change Risk Assessment of Greater Manchester's Critical Infrastructure GMCCRA_report_final.pdf

Year of adoption from local government

2018

Web link

http://www.resin-cities.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/Resources/City_report_GM/GMCCRA_report_final.pdf

Boundary of assessment relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same – covers entire city and nothing else

Explanation of boundary choice where the assessment boundary differs from the city boundary

note, this is a critical infrastructure risk assessment, with infrastructure being within GM City boundaries. it has been funded by our participation (with Uni of Manchester as our research partner) in the RESIN Horizon 2020 funded project. This has now been finalised and published in late 2018

Areas/sectors covered by the risk and vulnerability assessment

Energy

Water Supply & Sanitation

Transport

Information & Communications Technology

Education

Public health

Community & Culture

Law & Order

Other (Green and blue Infrastructure)

Primary author of assessment

Other

Does the assessment identify vulnerable populations?

Yes

Publication title and attach the document

RESIN City Assessment Report Greater Manchester

D4.1 __City_Assessment_Report_Greater_Manchester_ICLEI_2016-02-29.pdf

GMCCRA_report_final.pdf

Year of adoption from local government

2016

Web link

http://www.resin-cities.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/D4.1__City_Assessment_Report_Greater_Manchester_ICLEI_2016-02-29.pdf

Boundary of assessment relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same – covers entire city and nothing else

Explanation of boundary choice where the assessment boundary differs from the city boundary

Areas/sectors covered by the risk and vulnerability assessment

Energy

Water Supply & Sanitation

Transport

Food and agriculture

Waste Management

Information & Communications Technology

Environment, Biodiversity and Forestry

Public health

Community & Culture

Emergency Management

Land use planning

Other (green and blue infrastructure)

Primary author of assessment

Other (A joint Co creation between GM practitioners and University of Manchester technical staff as part of RESIN EU project)

Does the assessment identify vulnerable populations?

Yes

Publication title and attach the document

Evidencing and Spatially Prioritising Weather and Climate Change Risks in Greater Manchester

2-17-0078-GM-2040-Full-Strategy-Document.pdf

FULL_TEXT.PDF

Year of adoption from local government

2013

Web link

https://www.research.manchester.ac.uk/portal/files/38632319/FULL TEXT.PDF

Boundary of assessment relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

 $\label{eq:same-covers} \textbf{Same-covers entire city and nothing else}$

Explanation of boundary choice where the assessment boundary differs from the city boundary

Areas/sectors covered by the risk and vulnerability assessment

Energy

Water Supply & Sanitation

Transport

Food and agriculture

Waste Management

Information & Communications Technology

Environment, Biodiversity and Forestry

Industrial

Commercial

Residential

Education

Public health

Community & Culture

Land use planning

Primary author of assessment

Other (Universithy of Manchester techincal contract on behalf of Greater Manchester planning team)

Does the assessment identify vulnerable populations?

No

Climate Hazards

(2.1) Please list the most significant climate hazards faced by your city and indicate the probability and consequence of these hazards, as well as the expected future change in frequency and intensity. Please also select the most relevant assets or services that are affected by the climate hazard and provide a description of the impact.

Climate Hazards

Extreme hot temperature > Heat wave

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

No

Current probability of hazard

Medium Low

Current consequence of hazard

Medium Low

Social impact of hazard overall

Increased demand for public services
Increased risk to already vulnerable populations
Increased resource demand

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Medium-term (2026-2050)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Residential

Education

Public health

Society / community & culture

Emergency services

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Persons with chronic diseases

Magnitude of expected future impact

Medium

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Although heat waves and extreme hot days are extremely rare in GM in the present day, climate change projections indicate that they will become more common in the future. indeed, in the period 1945-1969 there were 2 heat stress incidents in GM. this rose to 10 in the period 1994-2017. as part of the GM Critical infrastructure risk assessment, using projected climate change (developed under the EcoCities project) for a 2050's high GHG emissions scenario, projections suggest: -summer mean daily maximum temperature: + 5.6°C - Warmest day in summer: + 6°C - Warmest night in summer: + 4.4°C It is important to ntoe that there is a geographic element to this hazard. under the Ecocities project (Cavan 2010) 3 climate zones were defined for GM. The increases of heat stress (and max temp) is most prevalent for for GM's Mersey Basin zone. This increases the risk of negative impacts linked to high temperatures, such as negative health effects and reductions in the productivity of employees, this is particularly important due to economic activity concentrated in the city region core (and the Mersey basin zone) and the prevalence of vulnerable groups (deprived, health impacted, young and elderly) in the spatial locations projected to suffer the worse increases as well as urban heat island effect as a forcing factor on top. Therefore, the spatial pattern of GM's urban heat island demonstrates that certain areas, generally those where development density is at its highest, are more likely to suffer from negative impacts as a result. There is also an equality dimension to heat stress. For example, looking at GM's housing development types, there is greater potential exposure to heat stress in more deprived areas. In effect, groups that are vulnerable to heat stress, due to factors including poverty and poor health, show the highest potential exposure to this climate change impact.

Climate Hazards

Extreme hot temperature > Extreme hot days

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium Low

Current consequence of hazard

Medium Low

Social impact of hazard overall

Increased demand for public services
Increased demand for healthcare services
Increased risk to already vulnerable populations

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Medium-term (2026-2050)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Residential Education

Public health

Society / community & culture

Emergency services

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Persons with chronic diseases

Magnitude of expected future impact

Do not know

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Although heat waves and extreme hot days are extremely rare in GM in the present day, climate change projections indicate that they will become more common in the future. indeed, in the period 1945-1969 there were 2 heat stress incidents in GM. this rose to 10 in the period 1994-2017. as part of the GM Critical infrastructure risk assessment, using projected climate change (developed under the EcoCities project) for a 2050's high GHG emissions scenario, projections suggest: -summer mean daily maximum temperature: + 5.6°C - Warmest day in summer: + 6°C - Warmest night in summer: + 4.4°C It is important to ntoe that there is a geographic element to this hazard. under the Ecocities project (Cavan 2010) 3 climate zones were defined for GM. The increases of heat stress (and max temp) is most prevalent for for GM's Mersey Basin zone. This increases the risk of negative impacts linked to high temperatures, such as negative health effects and reductions in the productivity of employees. this is particularly important due to economic activity concentrated in the city region core (and the Mersey basin zone) and the prevalence of vulnerable groups (deprived, health impacted, young and elderly) in the spatial locations projected to suffer the worse increases as well as urban heat island effect as a forcing factor on top. Therefore, the spatial pattern of GM's urban heat island demonstrates that certain areas, generally those where development density is at its highest, are more likely to suffer from negative impacts as a result. There is also an equality dimension to heat stress. For example, looking at GM's housing development types, there is greater potential exposure to heat stress in more deprived areas. In effect, groups that are vulnerable to heat stress, due to factors including poverty and poor health, show the highest potential exposure to this climate change impact.

Climate Hazards

Flood and sea level rise > Flash / surface flood

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium High

Current consequence of hazard

Medium

Social impact of hazard overall

Fluctuating socio-economic conditions

Increased demand for public services

Increased demand for healthcare services

Increased risk to already vulnerable populations

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Medium-term (2026-2050)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Energy

Water supply & sanitation

Transport

Food & agriculture

Waste management

Information & communications technology

Environment, biodiversity, forestry

Industrial

Commercial

Residential

Education

Tourism

Public health

Society / community & culture

Emergency services

Land use planning

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Marginalized groups

Persons with disabilities

Persons with chronic diseases

Low-income households

Unemployed persons

Persons living in sub-standard housing

Magnitude of expected future impact

High

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Flooding stand out as one of the key weather and climate threats to the conurbation, not just in the future but also in the present day. Indeed, evidence from the EcoCities project suggests that flooding has been the most prominent hazard facing GM over recent decades, and that surface water flooding is superseding fluvial flooding (from main rivers) as the most common type of event (Carter and Lawson 2011). Indeed, pluvial flooding now dominates, accounting for 50% of all floods since 1994 Within the GM Critical infrastructure risk assessment, which looked at 2050's high GHG emissions scenario for GM's Mersey Basin zone. Change is from 1961-1990 at 90th percentile) for this GM climate Zones (defined under the Ecocities project, Cavan 2010), under this emissions scenario, we expect the following hazard increases which will intensify the pluvial / flash flood risk by: Precipitation on wettest day in winter: + 31% - Precipitation on wettest day in summer: + 19% - Winter mean precipitation: +28% - Annual mean precipitation: +9% In addition to the damage flooding causes to buildings and infrastructure, flooding also brings knock-on secondary impacts which must be recognised. One example is the effect of flood damage to people's homes, and the subsequent psychological stress that this can cause flood victims.

Climate Hazards

Storm and wind > Severe wind

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium

Current consequence of hazard

Medium

Social impact of hazard overall

Increased demand for public services
Increased demand for healthcare services
Increased risk to already vulnerable populations

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Medium-term (2026-2050)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Energy

Water supply & sanitation

Transport

Food & agriculture

Waste management

Information & communications technology

Environment, biodiversity, forestry

Emergency services

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Marginalized groups

Persons with disabilities

Low-income households

Persons living in sub-standard housing

Magnitude of expected future impact

Do not know

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Disruption and short term problems associated with damage to infrastructure or problems with movement (including mobilisation of emergency response). There could be risk to health due to dangers of high winds. This could be compounded by disruption to the wider energy and ICT networks. This, alongside combinations of high wind events with higher rainfall could see this hazard impact combine with more frequent and higher risk fluvial and pluvial flood risks identified above.

Climate Hazards

Flood and sea level rise > River flood

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium High

Current consequence of hazard

Medium High

Social impact of hazard overall

Fluctuating socio-economic conditions

Increased demand for public services

Increased demand for healthcare services

Increased risk to already vulnerable populations

Loss of traditional jobs

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Short-term (by 2025)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Energy

Water supply & sanitation

Transport

Food & agriculture

Waste management

Information & communications technology

Environment, biodiversity, forestry

Industrial

Commercial

Residential

Education

Public health

Society / community & culture

Emergency services

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Marginalized groups

Persons with disabilities

Persons with chronic diseases

Low-income households

Persons living in sub-standard housing

Magnitude of expected future impact

High

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Flooding stand out as one of the key weather and climate threats to the conurbation, not just in the future but also in the present day. Indeed, evidence from the EcoCities project suggests that flooding has been the most prominent hazard facing GM over recent decades, and that surface water flooding is superseding fluvial flooding (from main rivers) as the most common type of event (Carter and Lawson 2011). Although fluvial flooding is relatively uncommon in GM, given the location of key assets and infrastructures within Flood Zones and the high consequences of related impacts should they occur, the associated risks remain high. Indeed GM is already seeing an intensification in htis fluvial flood hazard. With 17 river flood events in the 1945-1969 period rising to 27 in the 1994-2017 period. Again this is a hazard GM projects to increase in intensity and risk. Within the GM Critical infrastructure risk assessment, which looked at 2050's high GHG emissions scenario for GM's Mersey Basin zone. Change is from 1961-1990 at 90th percentile) for this GM climate Zones (defined under the Ecocities project, Cavan 2010), under this emissions scenario, we expect the following hazard increases which will intensify the pluvial / flash flood risk by: Precipitation on wettest day in winter: + 31% - Precipitation on wettest day in summer: + 19% - Winter mean precipitation: +28% - Annual mean precipitation: +9% In addition to the damage flooding causes to buildings and infrastructure, flooding also brings knock-on secondary impacts which must be recognised. One example is the effect of flood damage to people's homes, and the subsequent psychological stress that this can cause flood victims.

Climate Hazards

Wild fire > Land fire

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium

Current consequence of hazard

Medium

Social impact of hazard overall

Increased demand for public services

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Medium-term (2026-2050)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Environment, biodiversity, forestry

Tourism

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Please select

Magnitude of expected future impact

Do not know

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

Significant peatland wildfires have been occuring in the upland areas around GM, with 2018 an extreme year. accerbated by land management practices, earlier drying of peatland and accidental/deliberate setting of fires, the impact of this hazard is expected to increase affecting land access, local health (air quality) and potentially risk to property (low)

Climate Hazards

Extreme Precipitation > Rain storm

Did this hazard significantly impact your city before 2019?

Yes

Current probability of hazard

Medium High

Current consequence of hazard

Medium

Social impact of hazard overall

Increased demand for public services
Increased risk to already vulnerable populations

Increased resource demand

Future change in frequency

Increasing

Future change in intensity

Increasing

When do you first expect to experience those changes?

Short-term (by 2025)

Most relevant assets / services affected overall

Energy

Water supply & sanitation

Transport

Food & agriculture

Information & communications technology

Residential

Public health

Society / community & culture

Emergency services

Land use planning

Please identify which vulnerable populations are affected

Children & youth

Elderly

Marginalized groups

Persons with disabilities

Persons with chronic diseases

Low-income households

Persons living in sub-standard housing

Magnitude of expected future impact

High

Please describe the impacts experienced so far, and how you expect the hazard to impact in the future

With surface water flooding events increasing in frequency in GM, and climate change projections threatening a rise in intense downpours, attention needs to be paid to protecting people, buildings and infrastructure from the associated consequences

(2.2) Please identify and describe the factors that most greatly affect your city's ability to adapt to climate change and indicate how those factors either support or challenge this ability.

Factors that affect ability to adapt		Please describe the factor and the degree to which it supports or challenges the adaptive capacity of your city
Political engagement / transparency	Support	There are established and active connections between GM planners and decision makers in the public sector and universities, research consultancies and community groups working on issues linked to climate change adaptation and resilience. This provides a platform for knowledge exchange and collaborative working. This platform has already delivered positive outcomes including research outputs influencing climate-related strategies and policies. Over recent years, GM has secured greater political commitment for the adaptation and resilience through initiatives including the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy and the 100 Resilient Cities programme. This provides a crucial building block for action on this agenda.
Political stability	Support	GM has a history of collaborative working across the ten districts that make up the conurbation, which can provide a platform to support engagement of other partner organisations involved in adaptation and critical infrastructure activities. This can encourage a more joined up approach to adaptation that crosses sectors, stakeholder groups and spatial scales.
Access to basic services	Support	particularly in relation to disaster risk response which is mandated under legislation (civil contingencies act 2004) means that public sector is well placed to respond to hazard events
Poverty	Challenge	inequality, including income inequality and poverty negatively affects citizens adaptive capacity.
Resource availability	Challenge	Funding available to local authorities and other public sector agencies working in this field has to compete with a range of other statutory priorities. This is occurring against a challenging background of wider public sector funding pressures, which is having an impact on capacity of key organisations to develop and deliver adaptation and resilience responses
Access to quality / relevant data	Challenge	1. The extent and nature of climate related risk is not yet fully understood due to the complexity of interconnections between the changing climate, land use and natural processes. 2. Records have not been systematically kept of the incidence and consequences of extreme weather events impacting on critical infrastructure (and other locations and assets) located within and serving GM. This makes it difficult to generate a strategic picture of priority sectors, locations and hazard events that are of greatest relevance to the conurbation. The passing of the Flood and Waters Management Act (in 2010) has started to address this issue in the context of flooding. 3. There are issues and uncertainties concerning the accuracy of data and prediction tools, particularly regarding future flooding projections data, which is generally recognised as GM's RESIN City Assessment Report Greater Manchester 29 key hazard. This makes is challenging to develop adaptation and resilience responses, particularly regarding hard infrastructure investments that operate over long time horizons.

Adaptation

Adaptation Actions

(3.0) Please describe the main actions you are taking to reduce the risk to, and vulnerability of, your city's infrastructure, services, citizens, and businesses from climate change as identified in the Climate Hazards section.

Climate hazards

Extreme hot temperature > Heat wave

Action

Public preparedness (including practice exercises/drills)

Action title

NHS heatwave plan

Status of action

Implementation

Co-benefit area

Disaster Risk Reduction

Enhanced resilience

Disaster preparedness

Improved public health

Action description and implementation progress

Implement UK national Heat wave plan as appropriate. A set out emergency procedure with various triggers, actions and

responsibilities across a range of emergency and other responders – the process is understood, and implemented (and tested/trained) as needed. this manifests in the civil contingencies preparedness planning within the GM community Risk register and a range of work with partners via the Local Resilience Forum.

Finance status

Finance secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

(Sub)national

Web link

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/801539/Heatwave_plan_for_England 2019.pdf

http://www.gmemergencyplanning.org.uk/gmprepared/download/downloads/id/4/greater manchester risk register 2015.pdf

Climate hazards

Flood and sea level rise > Flash / surface flood

Action

Storm water capture systems

Action title

Lead Local Flood Authority action

Status of action

Implementation

Co-benefit area

Disaster Risk Reduction

Enhanced resilience

Enhanced climate change adaptation

Action description and implementation progress

Capital flood risk schemes and wider work to increase property and community level resilience. A range of capital schemes are funded and progressed. Smaller more local pluvial and fluvial schemes are programmed and wider efforts on engagement and increasing community flood resilience are undertaken currently

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Other (a mix of national Grant in aid, local levy and partnership contributions (i.e. from Developers))

Web link

Climate hazards

Flood and sea level rise > River flood

Action

Flood defences - development and operation & storage

Action title

Environment Agency medium term plan

Status of action

Implementation

Co-benefit area

Disaster Risk Reduction

Enhanced resilience

Disaster preparedness

Enhanced climate change adaptation

Economic growth

Action description and implementation progress

Capital flood risk schemes and wider work to increase property and community level resilience. A range of capital schemes are funded and progressed. A second flood storage basin in Salford is now complete, deculverting and associated FR reduction works in Rochdale. Smaller more local pluvial and fluvial schemes are programmed and wider efforts on engagement and increasing community flood resilience are undertaken currently For GM, currently the 6 year capital investment plan (15-2021) aims to reduce risk to 1300 house holds and a projected program size of £46m

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

46000000

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Other (this is a blend of national Grant in Aid funding, local level and local contributions (i.e. from developers) which is brought together on a scheme by scheme basis to deliver the wider headline 6 year investment strategy targets via capital schemes.)

Web link

Adaptation Planning

(3.1) Does your city council have a published plan that addresses climate change adaptation? Yes

(3.1a) Please provide more information on your plan that addresses climate change adaptation and attach the document. Please provide details on the boundary of your plan, and where this differs from your city's boundary, please provide an explanation.

Publication title and attach the document

the 5 year environment plan for greater manchester 5-year-plan-branded_3 (1).pdf

Areas covered by adaptation plan

Energy

Transport (Mobility)

Building and Infrastructure

ICT (Information and Communication Technology)

Spatial Planning

Waste

Public Health and Safety

Year of adoption from local government

2019

Boundary of plan relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same - covers entire city and nothing else

If the city boundary is different from the plan boundary, please explain why and any areas/other cities excluded or included

Stage of implementation

Plan in implementation

Type of plan

Integrated mitigation / adaptation

Has your local government assessed the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits, if any, of the main mitigation and adaptation actions you identified?

Yes

Comment or describe the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits of this interaction

the 5 year plan and its development has considered how the themes connect. particular links within the chapters (synergies) between actions to address air quality, health and transport/mitigation exist. Similarly the ability of measures to reduce general stress on populations (i.e. financial pressures, health uplift via natural environment) are co-beneficial both for resilience of populace and also delivering of wider carbon reductions (active travel) or sequestration)

Primary author of plan

Relevant city department

Description of the stakeholder engagement processes

the plan was developed with extensive engagement. a key organisation steering group, thematic workshops in late 2017-18, an initial public 'GM Green Summit' in March 2018 which resulted in a 12 month program of engagement and action to develop (and publically launch) the 5 year plan in March 2019 at the 2nd GM Green Summit

Web link

https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1986/5-year-plan-branded_3.pdf

Adaptation Goals

(3.2) Please describe the main goals of your city's adaptation efforts and the metrics / KPIs for each goal.

note - GM has high level objectives for adapting to a rapidly changing climate and a number of linked current and future climate risk and resilience actions, but it does not, in the same way as for carbon reduction, have adaptation goals/targets/kpi's

Adaptation goal

manage our land sustainably including planting 1m trees by 2024

Target year

2024

Metrics / indicators

numbers trees planted

Percentage of target achieved so far

0

Does this target align with a requirement from a higher level of government?

Yes, but it exceeds its scale or requirements

Adaptation goal

Develop a GM Resilience Strategy Roadmap

Target year

2019

Metrics / indicators

roadmap produced

Percentage of target achieved so far

50

Does this target align with a requirement from a higher level of government?

Do not know

Adaptation goal

implement a prioritised program of nature based adaptation action - Note there is no equvilant national target for this measure in the NAP. however, it is a priority in both the Defra 25 year plan and the GM work for this action is referenced in HM Govt Green finance strategy

Target year

2022

Metrics / indicators

NBS adaptation action pipeline identified first project package selected financing/contracting/delivery vehicle set up

Percentage of target achieved so far

10

Does this target align with a requirement from a higher level of government?

Yes, but it exceeds its scale or requirements

Adaptation goal

protect an additional 1300 domestic properties from fluvial flooding by 2021

Target year

2021

Metrics / indicators

Capital expenditure programme (£46m) delivered Numbers new properties protected

Percentage of target achieved so far

50

Does this target align with a requirement from a higher level of government?

Yes

City-wide GHG Emissions Data

(4.0) Does your city have a city-wide emissions inventory to report?

Yes

(4.1) Please state the dates of the accounting year or 12-month period for which you are reporting your latest city-wide GHG emissions inventory.

	From	То
Accounting year dates	January 1 2017	December 31 2017

(4.2) Please indicate the category that best describes the boundary of your city-wide GHG emissions inventory.

	Boundary of inventory relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)	Explanation of boundary choice where the inventory boundary differs from the city boundary (include inventory boundary, GDP and population)
Please explain	Same – covers entire city and nothing else	

(4.3) Please give the name of the primary protocol, standard, or methodology you have used to calculate your city's city-wide GHG emissions.

	Primary protocol	Comment
Emissions methodology	Global Protocol for Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories (GPC)	

(4.3a) The Global Covenant of Mayors requires committed cities to report their inventories in the format of the new Common Reporting Framework, to encourage standard reporting of emissions data. If your city is reporting an updated inventory, we encourage reporting this in the CRF format, for which guidance can be found in the link below. Would you like to report your inventory in the CRF format or continue to report in the GPC format? Please ensure you respond to this question in order for the correct emissions breakdown questions to be displayed.

Yes - use the CRF format

(4.4) Which gases are included in your city-wide emissions inventory? Select all that apply.

CO2

CH4

N20

(4.5) Please attach your city-wide inventory in Excel or other spreadsheet format and provide additional details on the inventory calculation methods in the table below.

Emissions inventory format

SCATTER

Document title and attachment

Anthesis 2017 SCATTER Baseline Emissions Inventory for CDP Report CRF inventory _GMCA Anthesis 2017 Baseline Emissions Inventory for CDP Report CRF inventory _GMCA.xlsx

Emissions factors used

IPCC

Global Warming Potential (select relevant IPCC Assessment Report)

IPCC 4th AR (2007)

Please select which additional sectors are included in the inventory

Agriculture, forestry or other land use sectors

Population in inventory year

2798800

Overall Level of confidence

High

Comment on level of confidence

completed by a trusted partner with acriditation to GHG protocol using UK govt data sets on emissions

(4.6a) The Global Covenant of Mayors requires committed cities to report their inventories in the format of the new Common Reporting Framework, to encourage standard reporting of emissions data. Please provide a breakdown of your city-wide emissions by sector and sub-sector in the table below. Where emissions data is not available, please use the relevant notation keys to explain the reason why.

	Direct emissions / Scope 1 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no direct emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	Indirect emissions from the use of grid- supplied electricity, heat, steam and/or cooling / Scope 2 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no indirect emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	Emissions occurring outside the city boundary as a result of in- city activities / Scope 3 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no emissions occurring outside the city boundary to report as a result of in-city activities, please select a notation key to explain why	Please explain any excluded sources, identify any emissions covered under an ETS and provide any other comments
Stationary energy > Residential buildings	2666217.51	Please select	1505538.61	Please select	680065.54	Please select	
Stationary energy > Commercial buildings & facilities	465380.92	Please select	260043.93	Please select	122024.21	Please select	
Stationary energy > Institutional buildings & facilities	780683.12	Please select	1314056.4	Please select	334712.38	Please select	
Stationary energy > Industrial buildings & facilities	574817.81	Please select	761734.26	Please select	225601.51	Please select	
Stationary energy > Agriculture	9537.24	Please select	2.9	Please select	2276.69	Please select	
Stationary energy > Fugitive emissions		Please select		N/A		N/A	
Total Stationary Energy	4496636.59	Please select	3841376.1	Please select	1364680.33	Please select	

CDP Page 18 of 32

	Direct emissions / Scope 1 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no direct emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	Indirect emissions from the use of grid- supplied electricity, heat, steam and/or cooling / Scope 2 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no indirect emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	Emissions occurring outside the city boundary as a result of in- city activities / Scope 3 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no emissions occurring outside the city boundary to report as a result of in-city activities, please select a notation key to explain why	Please explain any excluded sources, identify any emissions covered under an ETS and provide any other comments
Transportation > On-road	3642856.12	Please select		Integrated Elsewhere	0	Please select	
Transportation > Rail	47565.08	Please select		Integrated Elsewhere	11320.12	Please select	
Transportation > Waterborne navigation	97.29	Please select		Not Occurring	23.2	Please select	
Transportation > Aviation	276719.26	Please select		Integrated Elsewhere	1478660.19	Please select	
Transportation > Off-road	0	Please select		Integrated Elsewhere	0	Please select	
Total Transport	3967237.75	Please select	0	Please select	1490003.51	Please select	
Waste > Solid waste disposal	269812.56	Please select	0	Please select	0	Please select	
Waste > Biological treatment	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
Waste > Incineration and open burning	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
Waste > Wastewater	174443.87	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
Total Waste	444256.44	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
IPPU > Industrial process	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
IPPU > Product use	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
Total IPPU	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
AFOLU > Livestock	12831.14	Please select	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
AFOLU > Land use	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
AFOLU > Other AFOLU	0	Not Estimated	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
Total AFOLU	12831.14	Please select	0	N/A	0	Not Estimated	
Generation of grid-supplied energy > Electricity-only generation	0.07	Please select	0	N/A	0.01	Please select	
Generation of grid-supplied energy > CHP generation	4013.62	Please select	0	N/A	741.3	Please select	
Generation of grid-supplied energy > Heat/cold generation	0	Please select	0	N/A	0	Please select	
Generation of grid-supplied energy > Local renewable generation	0	Please select	0	Integrated Elsewhere	0	Please select	

CDP Page 19 of 32

	Direct emissions / Scope 1 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no direct emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	from the use of grid- supplied electricity, heat, steam and/or cooling / Scope 2 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no indirect emissions to report, please select a notation key to explain why	Emissions occurring outside the city boundary as a result of in- city activities / Scope 3 (metric tonnes CO2e)	If you have no emissions occurring outside the city boundary to report as a result of in-city activities, please select a notation key to explain why	Please explain any excluded sources, identify any emissions covered under an ETS and provide any other comments
Total Generation of grid-supplied energy	4013.68	Please select	0	N/A	741.31	Please select	
Total Emissions (excluding generation of grid-supplied energy)	8920961.92	Please select	3841376.1	Please select	2854683.84	Please select	

(4.8) Please indicate if your city-wide emissions have increased, decreased, or stayed the same since your last emissions inventory, and describe why.

	 Primary reason for change	Please explain and quantify changes in emissions	
Please explain	change	the last submitted Global GHG protocol compliant inventory submitted by GM was for 2014 and saw a combined scope 1 and 2 emissions inventory of 17325654 tonnes. this years Anthesis compiled Scatter BEI sees the same emissions for scope 1 and 2 at 12,766,352 Tonnes. This reduction has been in part driven by decarbonising of the national grid, an increase in energy efficience within the the city region (particularly domestic properties) and a greater local low / zero carbon energy generation.	

(4.9) Does your city have a consumption-based inventory to measure emissions from consumption of goods and services by your residents?

	Response	Provide an overview and attach your consumption-based inventory if relevant
Please complete	Intending to undertake in the next 2 years	

City-wide external verification

(4.11) Has the city-wide GHG emissions data you are currently reporting been externally verified or audited in part or in whole?

Yes

(4.11a) Please provide the following information about the city-wide emissions verification.

	Name of verifier and attach verification certificate	Year of verification	Please explain which parts of your inventory are verified
Verification details	Anthesis	2017	we chose anthesis because they were a recognised Global GHG protocol expert service provider this is a CDP compliant Scatter process

Historical emissions inventories

CDP Page 20 of 32

(4.12) Please provide details on any historical and base year city-wide emissions inventories your city has, in order to allow assessment of targets in the table below.

Inventory date from

January 1 2014

Inventory date to

November 3 2014

Scopes / boundary covered

Scope 1 (direct) Scope 2 (indirect)

Previous emissions (metric tonnes CO2e)

17325654

Is this inventory used as the base year inventory?

No

Methodology

Global Protocol for Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories (GPC)

File name and attach your inventory

GM2014_GPC Reporting Tool_V1.9_ENG GM2014_GPC Reporting Tool_V1.9_ENG.xls

Comments

Re-stating previous emissions inventories

(4.13) Since your last submission, have you needed to recalculate any past city-wide GHG emission inventories previously reported to CDP?

No

Emissions Reduction

Mitigation Target setting

(5.0) Do you have a GHG emissions reduction target in place at the city-wide level? Select all that apply.

Base year emissions (absolute) target

(5.0a) Please provide details of your total city-wide base year emissions reduction (absolute) target. In addition, you may add rows to provide details of your sector-specific targets, by providing the base year emissions specific to that target.

Sector

All emissions sources included in city inventory

Where sources differ from the inventory, identify and explain these additions I exclusions

Boundary of target relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same - covers entire city and nothing else

Base year

1990

Year of target implementation

Base year emissions (metric tonnes CO2e)

21200000

Percentage reduction target

97.3

Target year

2038

Target year absolute emissions (metric tonnes CO2e)

0.57

Percentage of target achieved so far

Does this target align with the global 1.5 - 2 °C pathway set out in the Paris Agreement?

Yes - 2 °C

Please indicate to which sector(s) the target applies

Energy industry

Heating and cooling supply

Commercial buildings

Residential buildings

Public facility

Industrial facilities

Transport

Water

Does this target align to a requirement from a higher level of sub-national government

Yes, but it exceeds its scale or requirement

Please describe your target. If your country has an NDC and your city's target is less ambitious than the NDC, please explain why.

(5.1) Please describe how the target(s) reported above align with the global 1.5 - 2 °C pathway set out in the Paris agreement.

GMCA via a commision to Anthesis and Tyndall Centre has set pathways approaches which mean the selected emissions reduction pathway equates to keeping it to well within Paris and 2 degrees and ensuring GM's emissions reduction is a fair share.

(5.2) Is your city-wide emissions reduction target(s) conditional on the success of an externality or component of policy outside of your control?

Yes

(5.2a) Please identify and describe the conditional components of your city-wide emissions reduction target(s).

decarbonising of national grid

(5.3) Does your city-wide emissions reduction target(s) account for the use of transferable emissions units? No

Mitigation Actions

(5.4) Describe the anticipated outcomes of the most impactful mitigation actions your city is currently undertaking; the total cost of the action and how much is being funded by the local government.

Mitigation action

Buildings > Energy efficiency/ retrofit measures

Action title

reduce heat demand from existing homes with retrofit measures installed at 50000 homes/annum - to 2040

Means of implementation

Capacity building and training activities

Stakeholder engagement

Infrastructure development

Development and implementation of action plan

Financial mechanism

Sustainable public procurement

Implementation status

Implementation

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

1.23

Energy savings (MWh)

Renewable energy production (MWh)

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Per year

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Poverty reduction / eradication

Social inclusion, social justice

Greening the economy

Economic growth

Job creation

Action description

GMCA and LAs to convene partners and develop a Greater Manchester retrofit partnership/accelerator to delier the 50k/annum heat reduction retrofits

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation action

Buildings > Energy efficiency/ retrofit measures

Action title

10% reduction in commertial and public building heat demand by 2025

Means of implementation

Capacity building and training activities

Stakeholder engagement

Infrastructure development

Policy and regulation

Financial mechanism

Implementation status

Pre-implementation

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

Energy savings (MWh)

8.4

Renewable energy production (MWh)

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Projected lifetime

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Improved resource efficiency (e.g. food, water, energy)

Social inclusion, social justice

Social community and labour improvements

Job creation

Improved resource security (e.g. food, water, energy)

Action description

GMCA and LAs to standardise measures of reporting on efficiency of buildings and aim to obtain a DEC rating of D or better by 2024 for their public buildings. work with commercial building sector to apply to private stock

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation action

Energy Supply > Low or zero carbon energy supply generation

Action title

increase local renewable (electricity) generation, adding at least an extra 45MW by 2024

Means of implementation

Policy and regulation

Financial mechanism

Sustainable public procurement

Implementation status

Pre-implementation

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

Energy savings (MWh)

Renewable energy production (MWh)

6 69

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Projected lifetime

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Improved resource efficiency (e.g. food, water, energy)

Greening the economy

Economic growth

Job creation

Action description

Work is on-going with Electricity North West (ENWL) to assess how the future energy demand can be met from local renewable sources. Proposals are being developed for an investment vehicle to deliver renewable energy generation (GM Energy Company). GMCA and LAs are examining the potential to establish collective solar PV/battery purchase - ICHOOSR.

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation action

Energy Supply > Low or zero carbon energy supply generation

Action title

add at least an extra 10TWh of low carbon heating by 2024

Means of implementation

Development and implementation of action plan

Implementation status

Pre-feasibility study

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

0.71

Energy savings (MWh)

Renewable energy production (MWh)

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Projected lifetime

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Improved resource efficiency (e.g. food, water, energy)

Greening the economy

Economic growth

Promote circular economy

Job creation

Action description

GMCA and LAs are seeking funding to roll out Local Area Energy planning across the city region and are consulting on proposals to identify 'Heat and Energy Network Opportunity Areas'. MMU is leading on the development of a Hydrogen Strategy. Note - see page 18 of GM 5 year plan tech annex - this reduction figure is included within improved insulation assumptions.

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation action

Private Transport > Improve fuel economy and reduce CO2 from motorized vehicles

Action title

support delivery of 200000 EV in City region by 2024

Means of implementation

Infrastructure development

Implementation status

Pre-feasibility study

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

1.61

Energy savings (MWh)

Renewable energy production (MWh)

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Projected lifetime

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Action description

GMCA, LA and TfGM to expand and promote city region's EV charging network with 48 new rapid charging points to be installed.

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation action

Mass Transit > Improve fuel economy and reduce CO2 from bus and/or light rail

Action title

100% zero emissions (tailpipe) bus fleet by 2035

Means of implementation

Policy and regulation

Financial mechanism

Sustainable public procurement

Implementation status

Pre-feasibility study

Estimated emissions reduction (metric tonnes CO2e)

Energy savings (MWh)

Renewable energy production (MWh)

Timescale of reduction / savings / energy production

Please select

Co-benefit area

Reduced GHG emissions

Greening the economy

Improved resource quality (e.g. air, water)

Improved public health

Action description

TfGM successfully awarded a £3.5m ULEV Bus Fund grant from OLEV, to support electrification of 23 buses and inclusive of £1.89m for charging infrastructure to support. Note carbon saving of zero carbon tailpipe buss fleet are included in the carbon savings foor 200k EV vehicle target for GM by 2024. see 5 year plan tec annex page 20

Finance status

Feasibility finalized, and finance partially secured

Total cost of the project

Total cost provided by the local government

Primary fund source

Please select

Web link to action website

Name of the stakeholder group

<Not Applicable>

Role in the GCC program

<Not Applicable>

Name of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Aim of the engagement activities

<Not Applicable>

Attach reference document

<Not Applicable>

Mitigation Planning

(5.5) Does your city have a climate change mitigation or energy access plan for reducing city-wide GHG emissions? Yes

(5.5a) Please attach your city's climate change mitigation plan below. If your city has both action and energy access plans, please make sure to attach all relevant documents below.

Publication title and attach document

5 year environment plan for Greater Manchester

Year of adoption from local government

2019

Web link

 $https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1986/5-year-plan-branded_3.pdf$

Areas covered by action plan

Energy

Transport (Mobility)

Building and Infrastructure

Industry

ICT (Information and Communication Technology)

Waste

Boundary of plan relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same - covers entire city and nothing else

If the city boundary is different from the plan boundary, please explain why and any areas/other cities excluded or included

Stage of implementation

Plan in implementation

Has your local government assessed the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits, if any, of the main mitigation and adaptation actions you identified?

Yes

Comment or describe the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits of this interaction

actions and plan looks at related issues such as health, transport improvements decarbonisation, etc etc

Has there been a stakeholder engagement plan to develop the plan?

the plan was developed with extensive engagement. a key organisation steering group, thematic workshops in late 2017-18, an initial public 'GM Green Summit' in March 2018 which resulted in a 12 month program of engagement and action to develop (and publically launch) the 5 year plan in March 2019 at the 2nd GM Green Summit

Primary author of plan

Relevant city department

Publication title and attach document

GMCA 5 year plan Techincal Annex

GMCA 5 Year Env Plan Technical Annex - FINAL June 2019.pdf

Year of adoption from local government

2019

Web link

Note - this document needs to be read with the 5 year plan - it includes the actions which make up the reductions needed to achieve the chosen emissions reduction trajectory set out in our 2038 carbon neutrality statement (and set out above from our 1990 baseline)

Areas covered by action plan

Energy

Transport (Mobility)

Building and Infrastructure

Industry

ICT (Information and Communication Technology)

Boundary of plan relative to city boundary (reported in 0.1)

Same - covers entire city and nothing else

If the city boundary is different from the plan boundary, please explain why and any areas/other cities excluded or included

Stage of implementation

Plan in implementation

Has your local government assessed the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits, if any, of the main mitigation and adaptation actions you identified?

Yes

Comment or describe the synergies, trade-offs, and co-benefits of this interaction

health, Air qaulity, transport, poverty all interlink in actions (i.e. fuel poverty measures, clean bus fleet etc

Has there been a stakeholder engagement plan to develop the plan?

Yes, this was part of the wider engagement to develop the 5 year plan (over circa 2 years) which has been referred to in other places in this submission.

Primary author of plan

Relevant city department

Opportunities

Opportunities

(6.0) Please indicate the opportunities your city has identified as a result of addressing climate change and describe how the city is positioning itself to take advantage of these opportunities.

Opportunity	Describe how the city is maximizing this opportunity
Increase in clean technology businesses	Sector development business support programme to increase growth in environmental tech companies. The Low Carbon Environmental Good and Services sector in GM is the 3rd largest in the UK. It employs 37,000 people within GM and has annual sales of over £6.4billion, showing annual growth of around 4% with particular growth in the renewable energy sector at 5.6%.
Improved efficiency of operations	ENWORKS business support programme to assist SMEs in improving resource efficiency. Low Carbon Investment Programmes to deploy low carbon infrastructure.
Increased energy security	Greater Manchester's network operator Electricity North West is pioneering new smart grid management techniques to enable rapid deployment of renewables, more active demand shift and novel information platforms. In partnership with Greater Manchester these initiatives seek to improve the security and affordability of energy in GM.
Increased infrastructure investment	Greater Manchester has established a low carbon Investment Fund, and Low Carbon Investment Portfolio of small, mid and large scale schemes. It has earmarked significant amounts of European and national development funding alongside private and public capital investment to deliver heat networks, smart energy, energy efficiency and other schemes.
Increased energy security	Greater Manchester is investigating the establishment of a localised energy trading and retail mechanisms to increase the rate of flow of investment between local energy consumption and generation, to innovate on affordable tariffs and to decrease reliance on volatile global energy markets.

(6.1) Does your city collaborate in voluntary partnership with businesses in your city on sustainability projects? Yes

(6.1a) Please provide some key examples of how your city collaborates with business in the table below.

Collaboration	Description of collaboration
area	
Energy	Greater Manchester undertakes a range of collaboration with Businesses on carbon reduction, resource efficiency and wider sustainability issues. This can be driven both through use of its procurement powers but also through wider funded business support around low carbon as well as business development opportunities in the low carbon goods and environmental sector. An example of this is the long running Enworks programme http://www.enworks.com/ who have and do deliver various funded carbon and resource efficiency support programmes and provide wider advocacy/leadership/inspiration to business via initiatives like the 'Green Growth Pledge' https://www.green-growth.org.uk/ examples of companies successfully saving carbon and money can be found on https://www.green-growth.org.uk/case-studies pages of the green growth website.

(6.2) List any emission reduction, adaptation, water related or resilience projects you have planned within your city for which you hope to attract financing and provide details on the estimated costs and status of the project. If your city does not have any relevant projects, please select No relevant projects under Project Area.

Local Government Emissions

Local Government Operations GHG Emissions Data

(7.0) Do you have an emissions inventory for your local government operations to report? Reporting a Local Government Operations emissions inventory is optional.

Intending to undertake in the next 2 years

Energy

(8.0) Does your city have a renewable energy or electricity target?

Intending to undertake in the next 2 years

CDP Page 30 of 32

(8.0b) Please explain why you do not have a renewable energy or electricity target and any plans to introduce one in the future.

	Reasoning	Comment
Please explain	Target is under development / consideration	

(8.1) Does your city have energy consumption data to report?

No

(8.6) Does your city have a target to increase energy efficiency?

Intending to undertake in the next 2 years

(8.6b) Please explain why you do not have an energy efficiency target and any plans to introduce one in the future.

	Reasoning	Comment
Please explain	Target is under development / consideration	

Transport

(10.0) Do you have mode share information available to report for the following transport types? Select all that apply. Please select

(10.5) Please provide the total fleet size and number of vehicle types for the following modes of transport:

			of taxis	Uber, Lyft) fleet	Customer-drive carshares (e.g. Car2Go, Drivenow) fleet size
Total fleet size					
Electric					
Hybrid					
Plug in hybrid					
Hydrogen					

GM would have to collate this information expressly for CDP - if we decide to do this, we will submit as an amendment

(10.7) Do you have a low or zero-emission zone in your city? (i.e. an area that disincentivises fossil fuel vehicles)
Please select

Food

(12.0) How many meals per year are served through programs managed by your city? (this includes schools, canteens, hospitals etc.)

(12.4) Does your city have any policies relating to food consumption within your city? If so, please describe the expected outcome of the policy.

	Response	Please describe the expected outcome of the policy
Please complete	Do not know	

Water Security

(14.0) What are the sources of your city's water supply? Select all that apply.

Surface water

Ground water

Rainwater

primarily GM is supplied (for potable uses) from rainwater transfered into treatement works via aqueducts and other infrastructure (stored in local reservoirs). the bulk of this comes from water supply sources outside of GM. but United Utilities the water company operates an integrated water supply management zone with ability to transfer within this, the wider supply mix also includes other local storage, other remote bulk storage and supply and other sources such as groundwater. there are also private supply. this makes the wider NW river basin, within which GM is supplied (primarily from a smaller nubmer of large sources) quite sophisticated and complex with redundancy, interdependence and other opportunities and sometimes pressures on supply within and outside of GMCA boundary

(14.1) Where does the water used to supply your city come from?

From adjacent river basins (by water transfer schemes) outside the city boundary

(14.2) What percentage of your city's population has access to potable water supply service?

(14.3) Are you aware of any substantive current or future risks to your city's water supply?

Water Supply Management

(14.5) Does your city have a publicly available Water Resource Management strategy? Not intending to undertake

(14.5b) Please explain why your city does not have a public Water Resource Management strategy.

	Reason	Explanation
Please	Water Resource Management is covered in other	Water resource planning (and regulation) is the responsibility of the privatised water company
explain	plans	United Utilities

Submit your response

What language are you submitting your response in?

English

Please read and accept our Terms and Conditions

I have read and accept the Terms and Conditions

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP.

	Public or non-public submission
I am submitting my response	Publicly (recommended)

CDP Page 32 of 32